1	Teresa M. Corbin (SBN 132360)		
2	Denise M. De Mory (SBN 168076) Jaclyn C. Fink (SBN 217913)		
3	HOWREY LLP 525 Market Street, Suite 3600		
4	San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 848-4900		
5	Facsimile: (415) 848-4999		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff SYNOPSYS, INC. and for Defendants AEROFLEX INCORPORAT	ED,	
7	AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, LTD., MATROX		
8	GRAPHICS, INC., MATROX INTERNATIONAL CORP., MATROX TECH, INC. and AEROFLEX		
9	COLORADO SPRINGS, INC.		
10	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
13			
14	RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,	Case No. C03-04669 MJJ (EMC)	
15	Plaintiff,	Case No. C03-2289 MJJ (EMC)	
16	vs.	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING	
17	AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, et al.,	PLAINTIFF SYNOPSYS, INC.'S AND DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF INVALIDITY	
18	Defendants.	OF U.S. PATENT NO. 4,922,432 FOR VIOLATION OF 35 U.S.C. § 102(f), OR, IN	
19		THE ALTERNATIVE, TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO JOIN ALL CO-OWNERS AS	
20		PLAINTIFFS	
21		[SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION NO. 3]	
22	SYNOPSYS, INC.,	Date: September 26, 2006 Time: 9:30 a.m.	
23	Plaintiff,	Time: 9:30 a.m. Courtroom: 11, 19 th Floor Judge: Martin J. Jenkins	
24	vs.	Judge. Martin J. Jenkins	
25	RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,		
26	Defendant.		
27			
28			
HOWREY LLP	Case Nos. C03-4669 MJJ (EMC) and C03-2289 MJJ (EMC)		
	S DM_US\8378982.v1		

1	On September 26, 2006, Plaintiff Synopsys, Inc. ("Synopsys") and Defendants Aeroflex	
2	Incorporated, AMI Semiconductor, Inc., Matrox Electronic Systems, Ltd., Matrox Graphics, Inc.,	
3	Matrox International Corp., Matrox Tech, Inc., and Aeroflex Colorado Springs, Inc. (collectively the	
4	"Customer Defendants") brought before this Court a Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of	
5	U.S. Patent No. 4,922,432 for Violation of 35 U.S.C. § 102(f), or, in the Alternative, to Dismiss for	
6	Failure to Join All Co-owners as Plaintiffs. The matter has been fully considered, and finding good	
7	cause therefor,	
8	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Court GRANTS Synopsys' and Customer Defendants'	
9	Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of U.S. Patent No. 4,922,432 for Violation of 35 U.S.C. §	
10	102(f), or, in the Alternative, to Dismiss for Failure to Join All Co-owners as Plaintiffs. An	
11	appropriate judgment dismissing this case shall follow.	
12		
13	DATED:	
14	The Honorable Martin J. Jenkins United States District Court Judge	
15		
16	Submitted August 18, 2006 by:	
17	HOWREY LLP	
18		
19	By: /s/ Denise M. De Mory	
20	Attorneys for Plaintiff SYNOPSYS, INC. and for Defendants AEROFLEX	
21	INCORPORATED, AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS,	
22	LTD., MATROX GRAPHICS, INC., MATROX INTERNATIONAL CORP., MATROX TECH,	
23	INC. and AEROFLEX COLORADO SPRINGS,	
24	INC.	
25		
26		
27		
28		
	1	